

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Unit d States Pat int and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 08/952,475 03/09/98 MORIMATSU F 2520-109P **EXAMINER** 002292 IM52/0516 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH CORBIN. A PO BOX 747 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747 1761 DATE MAILED: 05/16/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	08 952,475	Mor	MORIMATSU ET AC		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit		
	AFRILLE L.	-SPBIN	1761	<u> </u>	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MA	ILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, experience to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	within the statutory minim price SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) on the mailing date	days will be conside	red timely. ion .	
Status			•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 - 2	-01			·	
This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	r formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to	the merits is cid	esed in	
Disp sition of Claims					
∞ Claim(s) $3, 4, 7, 10-13, 16, 17$		is/are p	pending in the ap	plication.	
Of the above claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
<u> </u>			is/are allowed.		
Claim(s) 3, 4, 7, 10-13, 16, 17	•	is/are r	rejected.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are o	objected to.		
□ Claim(s)		are sul require	bject to restriction ement.	or election	
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ Th proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved	☐ disapprove	d.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				•	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. 	e priority documents ha	ave been			
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International 					
*Certified copies not received:			·		
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	s) 🗆 lı	nterview Sumr	mary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		lotice of Inform	nal Patent Applica	ation, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other			
Office A	Action Summary				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1761

1. The request filed on March 20, 2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/952,475 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3, 4, 7, 10-13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giese.

Applicant is referred to paragraph no. 7, Paper No. 5 and paragraph no. 6, Paper No. 8.

- 4. Claims 3, 4, 7, 10-13, 16 and 17 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonkowski in view of Helmer et al. Applicant is referred to the last sentence in each of paragraph nos. 8 and 9, Paper No. 5 and paragraph no. 8.
- Applicant's arguments filed March 20, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Although Test variable 1 Tables 6 and 7 shows improved cholesterol lowering results as compared with Test variables 2 and 3 in Tables 6 and 7, as applicant contends, neither Test variable 2 nor 3 includes soy protein, as claimed by applicant and disclosed by both primary references. Moreover, neither Test variable 2 nor 3 includes both animal fat and vegetable oil, regardless of the content of each, as disclosed in Giese. Thus, there

Art Unit: 1761

is no factual evidence of record to support applicant's conclusion that equal amounts of animal fat and vegetable oil are critical since there are extests of record showing cholesterol results where different contents of animal fat and vegetable parameters are used in meat products. What cholesterol results would occur in a meat products containing soy protein and different contents of animal fat and vegetable oil?

Further, Giese suggests substitution of vegetable oil for animal fat, despite applicant's belief to the contrary.

6. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the parent application prior to the filing of this Continued Prosecution Application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing under 37

CFR 1.53(d). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will

Application/Control Number: 08/952475 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3850. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3602.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Arthur Corbin/om May 15, 2001

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER